Appl. No. 10/525,147 Amdt. dated Sept. 14, 2006 Reply to Office action of May 19, 2006

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions and listings of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

Claim 1 (currently amended): A method for calibrating 1 parameters of sensor elements in a sensor array, comprising 2 the steps of: 3 receiving an output signal signals of at least two 4 sensor elements signal in reaction to an input signal from a 5 signal source; 6 estimating a cross-correlation between the output 7 signals of at least two of said sensor elements; 8 optimising a difference between the estimated 9 cross-correlation and a cross-correlation model; and 10 thereby estimating said parameters from the optimised 11 difference; 12 wherein a the cross-correlation model is used as 13 represented by the following mathematical equation: 14 $R = G B G^{H} + D$ 15 in which-equation: 16 R represents a cross-correlation matrix, 17 G represent a gain matrix comprising gain parameters, 18 G^{H} represents the an Hermitian conjugate of the gain matrix, 19 p represents a ((block) diagonal) noise matrix comprising 20 noise parameters and 21 B represents a matrix comprising information about the 22 signal source. 23

- Appl. No. 10/525,147
- Amdt. dated Sept. 14, 2006
- Reply to Office action of May 19, 2006
- Claim 2 (original): A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein
- said difference is a least square difference.
- 1 Claim 3 (previously presented): A method as claimed in
- claim 1, wherein the cross-correlation is obtained by
- determining a time-averaged covariance matrix from the
- 4 output signals.
- 1 Claim 4 (previously presented): A method as claimed in
- claim 1, wherein the sensor array is a single polarization
- or non-polarized sensor array.
- 1 Claim 5 (previously presented): A method as claimed in
- claim 1, wherein the sensor elements are dual polarization
- 3 sensor elements for receiving a dual polarised signal.
- 1 Claim 6 (previously presented): A method as claimed in
- 2 claim 1, wherein said method is performed for output signals
- of the sensor elements generated in reaction to input
- 4 signals from at least three signal sources with different
- 5 polarizations.
- 1 Claim 7 (original): A method as claimed in claim 4, wherein
- 2 said optimising comprises:
- minimising a difference between a weighted logarithm of the
- 4 estimated cross-correlation and a weighted logarithm of the
- 5 cross-correlation and
- 6 estimating the gain of at least one of the sensor elements
- 7 from said difference.

- Appl. No. 10/525,147 Amdt. dated Sept. 14, 2006 Reply to Office action of May 19, 2006
- Claim 8 (original): A method as claimed in claim 7, wherein
- the logarithm is weighted by a weighting matrix with matrix
- yalues relating to said gain parameters.
- 1 Claim 9 (previously presented): A method as claimed in
- claim 7, wherein said optimising and said estimating gain
- 3 parameters are performed at least a first time and a second
- 4 time, wherein in the first time an uniform weight is used
- for all output signals and in the second time the weight is
- 6 used in dependence on the gain estimated in the first time
- 7 for the respective output signals.
- 1 Claim 10 (previously presented): A method as claimed in
- claim 7, wherein said optimising comprises an operation as
- 3 represented by the mathematical equation:
- $\{\mathbf{g}_{\text{est}}\} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{g},\mathbf{k}} (\| \mathbf{W} \mathbf{J} \operatorname{vec}(\ln(\mathbf{R}_{\text{est}}) \ln(\mathbf{g} \mathbf{g}^{\text{H}}) + 2\pi \mathbf{k}i) \|_{\mathbf{F}})^{2},$
- 6 in which equation:
- 7 g_{est} represents the parameter to be estimated;
- 8 g represents a variable;
- 9 gH represents the Hermitian conjugate of the variable;
- J represent a selection matrix which puts zeros on the main
- 11 diagonal;

4

- 12 k represents a phase unwrapping vector containing integer
- 13 values;
- 14 W represents a weighting matrix; and
- 15 R_{est} represents the estimated cross-correlation.

- Appl. No. 10/525,147 Amdt. dated Sept. 14, 2006 Reply to Office action of May 19, 2006
- 1 Claim 11 (previously presented): A method as claimed in
- claim 1, wherein the signal source is a satellite in orbit
- 3 around a celestial body.
- 1 Claim 12 (previously presented): A method as claimed in
- claim 1, wherein the signal source is a pulsar.
- 1 Claim 13 (previously presented): A method as claimed in
- claim 1, wherein the output signals have a low signal to
- 3 noise ratio.
- 1 Claim 14 (previously presented): A method as claimed in
- claim 1, wherein the sensor elements are antennas in a
- 3 phased array antenna.
- 1 Claim 15 (previously presented): A method as claimed in
- 2 claim 1, wherein the sensor elements are electro-magnetic
- 3 sensors elements.
- 1 Claim 16 (previously presented): A method as claimed in
- 2 claim 1, wherein the sensor elements are acoustical sensor
- 3 elements.
- Claim 17 (currently amended): A calibration system for
- 2 calibrating parameters of sensor elements in a sensor array,
- 3 the system comprising:
- at least two inputs, each connectable to an output of
- 5 an-a sensor element in a sensor array;
- 6 a correlation estimator device for estimating a
- 7 correlation between the output signals of at least two of
- 8 said sensor elements;

Appl. No. 10/525,147 Amdt. dated Sept. 14, 2006 Reply to Office action of May 19, 2006

an optimiser device for optimising a difference between the estimated cross-correlation and a cross-correlation model and thereby estimating said parameters from the optimised difference; and

a memory device containing the cross-correlation model, which the model is being represented by the following mathematical equation:

 $R = G B G^{H} + D$

in which-equation:

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

2

18 R represents a cross-correlation matrix,

G represent a gain matrix comprising gain parameters,

20 GH represents the an Hermitian conjugate of the gain matrix,

D represents a noise matrix comprising noise parameters and

B represents a matrix comprising information about the

signal source and.

Claim 18 (previously presented): A calibration system as

claimed in claim 17, wherein the sensor array is a dual

polarised sensor array.

Claim 19 (original): A calibration system as claimed in

claim 17, wherein the sensor array is a single polarization

or non-polarized sensor array.

Claim 20-22 (cancelled).

Claim 23 (new): An array signal processing system having

sensor elements and a calibration system for calibrating the

sensor elements, the calibration system comprising:

a device for receiving output signals of at least two 4 sensor elements in reaction to an input signal from a signal 5 source: 6 a correlation estimator device for estimating a 7 cross-correlation between the output signals of at least two 8 of said sensor elements; 9 an optimiser device for optimising a difference between 10 the estimated cross-correlation and a cross-correlation 11 model; and 12 an estimator device for estimating said parameters from 13 the optimised difference; 14 wherein the cross-correlation model is represented by 15 the following mathematical equation: 16 $R = G B G^{H} + D$ 17 in which: 18 R represents a cross-correlation matrix, 19 G represent a gain matrix comprising gain parameters, 20 $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}^{\!\!\!H}$ represents an Hermitian conjugate of the gain matrix, 21 p represents a ((block) diagonal) noise matrix comprising 22 noise parameters, and 23 $oldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}$ represents a matrix comprising information about the 24 signal source. 25 26 Claim 24 (new): A computer program having computer 27 executable instructions and stored in a computer readable 28 medium and which, when the instructions are executed by a

Appl. No. 10/525,147

29

30

31

32

33

source;

Amdt. dated Sept. 14, 2006

Reply to Office action of May 19, 2006

receiving output signals of at least two sensor

elements in reaction to an input signal from a signal

programmable computer, perform the steps of:

estimating a cross-correlation between the output 34 signals of at least two of said sensor elements; 35 optimising a difference between the estimated 36 cross-correlation and a cross-correlation model; and 37 estimating said parameters from the optimised 38 difference; 39 wherein the cross-correlation model is used as 40 represented by the following mathematical equation: 41 $R = G B G^{H} + D$ 42 in which: 43 R represents a cross-correlation matrix, 44 G represent a gain matrix comprising gain parameters, 45 **G**^H represents an Hermitian conjugate of the gain matrix, 46 D represents a ((block) diagonal) noise matrix comprising 47 noise parameters and 48 B represents a matrix comprising information about the 49 50 signal source. 51 Claim 25 (new): A computer readable medium having computer 52 executable instructions stored therein, said instructions, 53 when being executed by a computer, perform the steps of: 54 receiving output signals of at least two sensor 55 elements in reaction to an input signal from a signal 56 source; 57 estimating a cross-correlation between the output 58 signals of at least two of said sensor elements; 59 optimising a difference between the estimated 60 cross-correlation and a cross-correlation model; and 61 estimating said parameters from the optimised 62 difference; 63

Appl. No. 10/525,147

Amdt. dated Sept. 14, 2006

Reply to Office action of May 19, 2006

Appl. No. 10/525,147 Amdt. dated Sept. 14, 2006 Reply to Office action of May 19, 2006

wherein the cross-correlation model is used as 64 represented by the following mathematical equation: 65 $R = G B G^{H} + D$ 66 in which: 67 R represents a cross-correlation matrix, 68 G represent a gain matrix comprising gain parameters, 69 **G**^H represents an Hermitian conjugate of the gain matrix, 70 D represents a ((block) diagonal) noise matrix comprising 71 noise parameters and 72 B represents a matrix comprising information about the 73 signal source. 74